1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 2:95-CR-504 WBS

Court of Appeals No. 13-16380

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF

APPEALABILITY

JOSE LUIS BUEN ROSTRO,

Defendant.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Defendant has filed notice of appeal from this court's Order of May 23, 2013. Appellate Commissioner Peter L. Shaw has ordered the matter remanded to this court for the limited purpose of granting or denying a certificate of appealability. A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

1 This court is unable to identify any issue that petitioner can demonstrate is "'debatable among jurists of reason, " could be resolved differently by a different 3 4 court, or is "'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.'" See Jennings v. Woodford, 290 F.3d 1006, 1010 (9th 5 Cir. 2002) (quoting Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 7 II (1983)). This amounts to defendant's third successive motion 8 under § 2255. See United States v. Buenrostro, 638 F.3d 720, 723 9 | (9th Cir. 2011). Defendant may not bring a successive § 2255 10 motion without first obtaining leave of the Ninth Circuit. 28 11 U.S.C. § 2255(h). Because he has not done so, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a certificate of 12

appealability is DENIED. The Clerk is ordered to forward a copy of this order to the Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit forthwith.

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Is shit

DATED: August 12, 2013 16

17

13

14

15

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26